Toward a new history and geography of human genes informed by ancient DNA

Joseph K. Pickrell1,2 and David Reich3,4,5

1 New York Genome Center, New York, NY, USA
2 Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
3 Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
4 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
5 Broad Institute of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA

Genetic information contains a record of the history of our species, and technological advances have transformed our ability to access this record. Many studies have used genome-wide data from populations today to learn about the peopling of the globe and subsequent adaptation to local conditions. Implicit in this research is the assumption that the geographic locations of people today are informative about the geographic locations of their ancestors in the distant past. However, it is now clear that long-range migration, admixture, and population replacement subsequent to the initial out-of-Africa expansion have altered the genetic structure of most of the world’s human populations. In light of this we argue that it is time to critically reevaluate current models of the peopling of the globe, as well as the importance of natural selection in determining the geographic distribution of phenotypes. We specifically highlight the transformative potential of ancient DNA. By accessing the genetic make-up of populations living at archaeologically known times and places, ancient DNA makes it possible to directly track migrations and responses to natural selection.

Introduction
Within the past 100 000 years anatomically modern humans have expanded to occupy every habitable area of the globe. The history of this expansion has been explored with tools from several disciplines including linguistics, archaeology, physical anthropology, and genetics. These disciplines all can be used to ask the same question: how did we get to where we are today?

Attempts to answer this question have often taken the form of a dialectic between two hypotheses. On the one hand are arguments in favor of demographic stasis, which propose that the inhabitants of a region are the descendants of the first people to arrive there. On the other side are the arguments in favor of rapid demographic change, which propose that the present-day inhabitants of a region descend from people who arrived during periods of technological or cultural change, replacing the previous inhabitants.

In archaeology, this debate has played out around the issue of whether sudden changes in material culture apparent in the archaeological record can be attributed to the spread of culture or to population movements: ‘pots versus people’ [1]. In physical anthropology, the debate has played out around the issue of whether changes in morphological characters over time are due to in situ evolution or to the arrival of new populations (e.g., [2]).

The same debate has also played out in genetics. On the side of population replacements, there are the ‘wave of advance’ and ‘demic diffusion’ models, first proposed to describe the spread of agriculture through Europe. In these models, the Neolithic transition was accompanied by the spread of farmers from the Near East across Europe, who partially or completely replaced resident hunter-gatherers [3–6]. On the side of stasis, there are the ‘serial founder effect’ models [7,8], which proposed that populations have remained in the locations they first colonized after the out-of-Africa expansion, exchanging migrants only at a low rate with their immediate neighbors until the long-range migrations of the past 500 years [9–12].

These genetic models — the wave-of-advance models on the one hand, and the serial founder effect models on the other — were proposed before the availability of large-scale genomic data. The great synthesis of genetic data with historical, archaeological and linguistic information, ‘The History and Geography of Human Genes’ [13], was published in 1994 based on data from around 100 protein

Glossary

Admixture: a sudden increase in gene flow between two differentiated populations.

Bottleneck: a temporary decrease in population size in the history of a population.

Gene flow: the exchange of genes between two populations as a result of interbreeding.

Heterozygosity: the number of differences between two random copies of a genome in a population.
polymorphisms, and the papers that popularized the notion of a serial founder effect model were written based on data from around 1000 microsatellites. However, it is now possible to genotype millions of polymorphisms in thousands of individuals using high-throughput sequencing. Because of these technological advances, the past few years have seen a dramatic increase in the quantity of data available for learning about human history. Equally important has been rapid innovation in methods for making inferences from these data. We argue here that the technological breakthroughs of the past few years motivate a systematic reevaluation of human history using modern genomic tools – a new ‘History and Geography of Human Genes’ that exploits many orders of magnitude more data than the original synthesis.

In the first section of the paper we summarize what we see as major lessons from the recent literature. In particular, it is now clear that the data contradict any model in which the genetic structure of the world today is approximately the same as it was immediately following the out-of-Africa expansion. Instead, the past 50 000 years of human history have witnessed major upheavals, such that much of the geographic information about the first human migrations has been overwritten by subsequent population movements. However, the data also often contradict models of population replacement: when two distinct population groups come together during demographic expansions the result is often genetic admixture rather than complete replacement. This suggests that new types of models – with admixture at their center – are necessary for describing key aspects of human history ([14–16] for early examples of admixture models).

In the second section of the paper we sketch out a way forward for data-driven construction of these models. We specifically highlight the potential of ancient DNA studies of individuals from archaeologically important cultures. Such studies in principle provide a source of information about history that bypasses some fundamental ambiguities in the interpretation of genetic, archaeological, or anthropological evidence alone. We discuss several potential applications of this technology to outstanding questions in human history.

There are a number of excellent articles that have reviewed the literature on genome-wide studies of human history [17–23]. Our focus here is not on providing a comprehensive review but instead on highlighting promising directions for future research.

**Reevaluation of the ‘serial founder effect’ model**

We begin with an illustration of some of the ambiguities in interpretation of genetic data in the context of the ‘serial founder effect’ model. This model, initially proposed by Harpending, Eller, and Rogers [24,25], gained popularity with the publication of two papers [7,8] that observed that heterozygosity (see Glossary) declines approximately linearly with geographic distance from Africa. This pattern, initially identified in genome-wide microsatellite genotypes from around 50 worldwide human populations, was subsequently confirmed based on patterns of haplotype diversity in large single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) datasets [26].

The observation of a smooth decline in human diversity with increasing geographic distance from Africa was interpreted as a window into demographic events deep in our species’ past. Specifically, in the serial founder effect and related models (Figure 1A) [12], the peopling of the globe proceeded by an iterative process in which small bands of individuals pushed into unoccupied territory, experienced population expansions, and subsequently gave rise to new small bands of individuals who then pushed further into unoccupied territory. This model has two important features: a large number of expansions into new territory by small groups of individuals (and concurrent bottlenecks), and little subsequent migration [7–12]. As Prugnolle *et al.* [7] wrote: ‘what is clear...is that [this] pattern of constant loss of genetic diversity along colonisation routes could only have arisen through successive bottlenecks of small amplitude as the range of our species increased... The pattern we observe also suggests that subsequent migration was limited or at least very localised’. This qualitative conclusion was followed by more quantitative ones; in an explicit fit of the serial founder effect model to data, Deshpande *et al.* [9] concluded that ‘incorporation into existing models of exchange between neighbouring populations is essential, but at a very low rate’.

This model has been influential in many fields. If it is correct, then the difficult problem of identifying the geographic origin of all modern humans is reduced to a simpler problem of finding the geographic region where people have the most genetic diversity [8]. This idea has been used extensively in discussions of human origins (e.g., [27,28]). The model also provides a null hypothesis of limited migration against which alternatives can be tested [29,30]. Outside genetics, the serial founder effect model has been used as a framework to interpret data from linguistics [31], physical anthropology [32–35], material culture [36], and economics [37].

The serial founder effect model, however, is only one of many models that can produce qualitatively similar patterns of genetic diversity (e.g., [38,39]). Producing the empirical pattern of a smooth decline in diversity with distance from Africa simply requires that the average time to the most recent common ancestor between two chromosomes in a population depend on the distance of that population from Africa [12,39].

To illustrate this point, we constructed two models that produce smooth declines in diversity with distance from Africa as in the serial founder effect model. However, these models differ qualitatively from the serial founder model in that this pattern is driven by admixture rather than bottlenecks in the distant past. These are (i) a model with two severe bottlenecks and extensive subsequent population admixture (Figure 1B) and (ii) a model without bottlenecks but with archaic admixture (from an anciently diverged population such as Neanderthals) as well as extensive recent population admixture (Figure 1C). Details of the model specifications and simulation parameters are in the Supplementary Material online. Using *ms* [40], we simulated 1000 regions of 100 kb under the serial founder effect model and each of these two models, and plotted the average
heterozygosity in each population. In all three cases (Figure 1D–F) we recapitulated a smooth linear decline in heterozygosity with distance from a reference population (in the serial founder model, this reference population can be interpreted as the source of the expansion, but there is no analogous interpretation of this population in the other models). Qualitative patterns of linkage disequilibrium were also similar in all scenarios (Figure S3).

These simulations show that the main observation that has been marshaled in support of the serial founder effect model is also consistent with very different histories (see also [29,38,39,41]). Specifically, in the absence of additional data, the smooth linear decline in heterozygosity away from Africa could represent a signal of many population bottlenecks during the initial out-of-Africa expansion tens of thousands of years ago, or it could represent a signal of extensive population mixture within the past few thousand years (or, of course, a combination of these or many other models that we have not considered). Because the data are compatible with both, arguing for one over the other involves a subjective determination of which class of model is more likely a priori. Perhaps the most important issue affecting this determination is how important migration has been over the past 50 000 years of human history. How representative are populations today of the populations that lived in the same locations after the out-of-Africa expansion?

**Empirical data have shown that the current inhabitants of a region are often poor representatives of the populations that lived there in the distant past**

The answer to the question posed above has been the subject of considerable research over the past several years. In our opinion one finding is already clear: long-range migration and concomitant population replacement or admixture have occurred often enough in recent human history that the present-day inhabitants of many places in the world are rarely related in a simple manner to the more ancient peoples of the same region (Figure 2).

The Americas over the past 500 years present one recent example. The Americas experienced massive demographic change after the arrival of Europeans and Africans, such that most of the ancestry of the Americas is not derived from the Native Americans who were the sole inhabitants of the region half a millennium ago [42–47]. Another recent example is Australia, where European migration over the past couple of hundred years is the main source of the genetic material in the region today [48].

An example from further back in time comes from the present-day hunter-gatherer and pastoralist populations of Siberia, which are often treated as surrogates for the populations that crossed the Bering land bridge to people the Americas beginning more than 15 000 years ago (e.g., [47,49–51]). DNA sequences from two individuals who lived in the Lake Baikal region of Siberia ~24 000 years before the present and ~17 000 years before the...
present, respectively, have indicated that this assumption is unfounded. These two ancient individuals (from periods before the time the Americas were thought to be peopled) are more closely related to present-day Native Americans than are present-day Siberians [52]. They appear to have been members of an ‘Ancient North Eurasian’ population that no longer exists in unmixed form, but that admixed substantially with the ancestors of both present-day Europeans and Native Americans [52–54]. By contrast, most of the present-day indigenous populations of Siberia are more closely related to populations currently living in East Asia, indicating that the present-day indigenous population of Siberia is descended in large part from populations that arrived in the region after the end of the last ice age [52].

Ancient DNA studies have also made considerable progress in resolving the major debate about whether the arrival of agriculture in Europe involved the spread of people or technology [53,55–63]. A key observation comes from genome-wide data from hunter-gatherer and agriculturalist populations that lived around 5000 years ago in present-day Sweden [62,63]. The farmer population appears most genetically similar to southern Europeans today, whereas the hunter-gatherers are more similar to northern Europeans (and, notably in light of the discussion of the serial founder effect model above, have levels of genetic diversity lower than in the modern European and East Asian populations [63]). Thus, at least in Scandinavia, the spread of agriculture was accompanied by the spread of people. The outcome of this spread of people was not population replacement, but rather admixture, such that European populations today trace some of their ancestry to both ancestral populations [53,62]. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) studies suggest that this dynamic is characteristic of the arrival of agriculture throughout Europe [55–58].

The arrival of farmers was not the end of the prehistoric migration in Europe (even putting aside discussion of migrations since the invention of writing; see [64–67]). In a single geographic region in present day Germany, mtDNA has been obtained from hundreds of human samples from archaeological cultures ranging from the early Neolithic to the Bronze Age [56]. There is an apparent genetic discontinuity between people of early and late Neolithic cultures. In particular, people of late Neolithic cultures bear more relatedness to the present-day populations of Eastern Europe and Russia than do people of early Neolithic cultures. Thus, demographic turnover has apparently occurred at least twice over the course of the past 8000 years of European prehistory. This makes inferences about the inhabitants of Europe tens of thousands of years ago based on the locations of people today unreliable.

Evidence of major population mixture in the past several thousand years has also accumulated in parts of the world where no ancient DNA is (yet) available. A series of studies have detected and dated admixture in a range of human populations. These studies make it clear that there are multiple distinct sources of ancestry in most populations. We caution, however, that without ancient DNA it is not possible to be confident which populations lived in a region before the admixture:

**India.** Here nearly all people today are admixed between two distinct groups, one most closely related to present-day Europeans, Central Asians, and Near Easterners, and one most closely related to isolated populations in the Andaman islands [68]. Much of this admixture occurred within the past 4000 years [69].

**North Africa.** In this region nearly all people today descend from admixture between populations related to those present today in western Africa and in the Near East [70–72]. Some of this mixture can be dated to within the past few thousand years [65], indicating that much of the ancestry from these populations does not descend continuously from the Stone Age peoples of North Africa.

**Sub-Saharan Africa.** Genetic studies have documented multiple examples of populations with ancestry from disparate sources. Many populations across sub-Saharan Africa trace some fraction of their ancestry to admixture in the past several thousand years with populations related to those in western Africa [65]. Populations in eastern and southern Africa have been influenced by gene flow from west Eurasian-related populations in the past 3000 years [73,74]. In Madagascar all populations derive approximately half of their ancestry from populations related to those currently living in southeast Asia [75].
Beyond case studies, several groups have applied tests for admixture to diverse populations from around the globe and found that nearly all populations show evidence of admixture [54,65,76]. To illustrate the degree to which this admixture involved populations whose closest genetic relatives today are geographically distant from each other, in Figure 3 we present an analysis based on combining data for 103 worldwide populations from several sources [26–28,73,77,78] and running a simple three-population test for admixture [68] on all populations (for further details see the Supplementary Material online). It is important to recognize that this test is not perfectly sensitive — for example, large amounts of genetic drift in the history of a population can mask the statistical signal of an admixture event — but when the test does detect a signal it provides incontrovertible evidence of gene flow into the ancestors of the population [54]. For all populations with statistically significant evidence of admixture, we identified the present-day populations that share the most genetic drift with the admixing ancestral populations.

Figure 3 shows the geographic locations of all the populations, together with the locations of the best present-day proxies of their ancestral populations. Admixture between populations related to ones that are now geographically distant is evident in most populations of the world. For example, Native American-related ancestry is present throughout Europe [54], likely reflecting the genetic input from the Ancient Northern Eurasian population related to Upper Paleolithic Siberians [53,54] both into the Americas (most likely before 15,000 years ago) and into Europe [52,53]. In addition, ancestry from a population related to those living in the Near East is found in Cambodia [30], likely due to mixture from an ancestral South Asian population that was itself an admixed population containing ancestry related to present-day Near Easterners [65]. The test we use as the basis for Figure 3 detects only one signal of admixture per population, and cannot detect complete population replacement. The true population history is thus likely to have been even more complex.
These examples show that the populations in a given region today are rarely descended in a simple manner from the inhabitants in the distant past. This provides further evidence that the serial founder effect model is no longer a reasonable null model for the relationship between present-day populations and their ancestors. Instead, clines in genetic diversity observed in data may often be better modeled as outcomes of admixture (as in Figures 1B,C) rather than a series of bottlenecks.

Ancient DNA: a transformative source of information about the past
The types of models that are most useful for making sense of human history are those that specify geographic as well as temporal information; that is, those that make statements of the form ‘a population from location X moved to location Y during time-period Z’. For example, one might wish to test whether the first pastoralists in southern Africa arrived as migrants from eastern Africa after ~2500 years ago (the time when evidence of pastoralism in southern Africa appears in the archaeological record), against the alternative hypothesis that a pastoralist lifestyle was adopted by indigenous people who learned it through cultural transmission. Testing this hypothesis using DNA from individuals today involves assuming that populations in southern and eastern Africa today are representative of the populations in southern and eastern Africa at the times of interest [74,79,80]. This assumption is often difficult or impossible to test.

Studies of DNA from ancient human remains offer a way around this limitation. Instead of studying the past by the traces it has left in present-day people – which is problematic because human individuals and even whole populations are capable of migrating hundreds or thousands of kilometers in a lifetime – ancient DNA offers the ability to analyze the genetic patterns that existed at a particular time and geographical location. This allows direct inference about the relationships of historical populations to each other and to populations living today. Box 1 lists some surprising findings about human history based on ancient DNA, which would have been difficult or impossible to obtain without this source of information.

Ancient DNA results are so regularly surprising that almost any measurement is interesting: new historical discoveries have been made in virtually every ancient DNA study that has been carried out. The reason why ancient DNA studies are so informative is that the technology provides a tool to measure quantities that were previously unmeasurable. In this sense, the value of ancient DNA technology as a window into ancient migrations is analogous to the 17th century invention of the light microscope as a window into the world of microbes and cells.

Scientific opportunities for ancient DNA studies
Moving forward, ancient DNA studies afford major opportunities in two areas: studies of population history and studies of natural selection.

The literature on ancient mtDNA contains several promising study designs. For example, one might sample multiple individuals from different archaeological cultures at a single time-point [60]. Such a ‘horizontal time slice’ allows a snapshot of population structure over a broad geographic region, which can then be compared to the relatively complete picture of population structure today. An alternative study design (similar to that used in [56]) is to take a single geographic location and sample individuals from multiple time-points. This ‘vertical time slice’ allows direct quantification of changes in population composition over time.

mtDNA studies have major drawbacks compared with analysis of the whole genome, however [81]. First, mtDNA is inherited maternally, and thus does not capture any information about the history of males (which may differ from that of females owing to sex-biased demographic processes). More importantly, a study of a single locus (or two loci if the Y chromosome is included) has less statistical resolution for studies of history than do studies of the nuclear genome. The reason is that whole-genome studies of an individual contain information about thousands of that individual’s ancestors, and not only information about a single ancestral lineage. It is thus important that the more advanced study designs based on mtDNA be combined with analysis of the more informative autosomal DNA.

What outstanding questions in population history can be addressed with these designs? One question is whether changes in populations over time are typically gradual – owing to consistent, low-level gene flow between neighboring

---

**Box 1. Surprising findings about human history illuminated by ancient DNA**

- There was archaic Neanderthal gene flow into anatomically modern humans outside Africa 37 000–85 000 years ago [133]. This gene flow contributed on the order of 2% of the genetic ancestry of non-Africans [106]. The first evidence of ancient admixture in non-Africans was suggested based on analysis of present-day humans, without access to any ancient DNA [134]. However, the consensus about whether admixture occurred only changed after ancient DNA evidence showed that the deeply diverged segments in present-day non-Africans are related to Neanderthals [106].
- A previously unknown archaic population that was neither Neanderthal nor modern human was present in Siberia before 50 000 years ago [135,136]. The discovery of the ‘Denisovans’ shows how ancient DNA can reveal ‘genomes in search of a fossil’ – populations whose existence was not expected based on the archaeological or skeletal record.
- There was gene flow from a population related to the Denisovans into the ancestors of present-day aboriginal people from New Guinea, Australia, and the Philippines [86,107,108,136,137]. These populations all live in Oceania, far from where the Denisovan bone was found in Siberia, a finding that again would not have been expected in the absence of ancient DNA data.
- Native Americans are admixed between an Ancient North Eurasian population and a population related to present-day East Asians as a result of events before the diversification of Native American populations in the New World [52].
- There were at least two important migration events into Europe over the past 9000 years [53,55–60,62].
- Populations in the Americas have experienced multiple episodes of turnover, such that an individual who lived in Greenland around 4000 years ago is more closely related to populations currently in Siberia than to present-day Greenland Inuit populations [109], and an individual who lived in North America around 12 000 years ago is more closely related to present-day Native South American populations than to present-day Native North American populations [138].
populations – or punctate, with migration events rapidly altering the genetic composition of a region. One line of work on modeling human history explicitly assumes the latter [30,54,65,76,82]. If this assumption is unfounded, however, other models that accommodate continuous gene flow (e.g., [83,84]) may be more appropriate. In principle, distinguishing between these possibilities with a time-series of ancient DNA is straightforward; in Figure 4A we show how the predictions differ.

Ancient DNA is also a promising way to address ongoing historical debates about the origins of different populations. Do linguistic isolates such as the Basques in Europe have different mixtures of ancestries than their neighbors [85]? When did the west Eurasian-related population(s) that admixed with most Indian populations first appear in South Asia [68]? Did the first modern human inhabitants of East Asia descend from an earlier out-of-Africa migration than the populations living in East Asia today [86,87]? Answering these questions will require a time-series of snapshots of human genetic structure, combining the two types of study design mentioned above. If experience is a guide, this type of information will uncover additional unexpected aspects of human history.

The admixture and population replacements identified by ancient DNA also have implications for studies of natural selection. It is often assumed that populations have been in their current geographic locations long enough to adapt to their local conditions. This is explicit in approaches that test for correlations between environmental variables and allele frequencies (e.g., [88–90]) and implicit in studies that interpret selected loci in terms of the current locations of populations (e.g., [91]).

To what extent are the geographic distributions of selected alleles today indicative of the geographic distributions of selective pressures? (The answer to this question may depend on the selective pressure in question). In individual cases, these two distributions are highly correlated; the classic example is the correlation between malaria incidence and disorders of hemoglobin [92]. For other cases, the correlation is imperfect. For example, alleles causing light skin pigmentation are at high frequency in northern Africa [93]. If the selective pressure causing light skin is indeed a relative lack of ultraviolet radiation [94], it seems reasonable to expect that this pressure has not affected people living around the Sahara desert. It is thus likely that the high frequency of alleles causing light skin pigmentation in north Africa was caused by the arrival of lightly pigmented people [70].

More generally, it has been observed that the geographic distributions of alleles under natural selection in humans tend to match the distribution of neutral population structure rather than any obvious geographic variation in selection pressures [95,96]. One factor contributing to this observation may be that selection pressures on individual loci are relatively weak owing to the quantitative nature of phenotypes [97–100]. Another contributing factor may be that population movements over the past several thousand years have to some extent decoupled the geographic distributions of selected alleles from the geographic distributions of selective pressures. From the point of view of an individual allele, the movement of populations acts as a form of fluctuating selection; as populations move from environment to environment, the selection coefficient on an allele may change in both sign and magnitude (assuming a fixed selection coefficient in a given environment). This means that the environment that dominated the allele frequency trajectory may not be the environment that the allele is found in today.

Ancient DNA is also a potentially transformative tool for understanding human adaptation more generally. Nearly all methods for detecting positive selection at the genetic level are based around the principle that a selected allele changes frequency more quickly than a neutral locus. Tracking the trajectories of allele frequencies over time

---

**Figure 4.** Time-series allow tests of different models of human history. (A) Different scenarios for the dynamics of gene flow. We show idealized time-series of admixture proportions in a population under models of continuous gene flow and discrete admixture. (B) Selection in the presence of admixture. We show idealized time-series of the allele frequencies at a selected allele and admixture proportions in a population. Note that despite selection the allele does not show any net change in frequency.
allows direct access to this information, and makes it possible to infer precisely when in time (and with more certainty where geographically) genetic changes began to arise [101]. In fact, in the presence of admixture it is simple to create scenarios where the frequency trajectory of an allele over time allows one to identify selection despite there being no net change in allele frequency (Figure 4B). To date, studies of selection over time have been limited either to small sample sizes [53,102] or to small numbers of sites [101,103–105]. However, whole-genome technologies should make it possible to interrogate many thousands of phenotypically relevant variants simultaneously.

Taming the wild west of ancient DNA

Realizing the potential of ancient DNA studies will require systematic approaches. However, ancient DNA studies today are often more spectacular than systematic. The paradigmatic approach to ancient DNA research in the era of high-throughput sequencing involves identifying a ‘golden’ archaeological sample that yields usable DNA, and obtaining a complete or partial genome sequence from it (e.g., [52,59,106–110]). To some extent the discovery of analyzable samples has been the main driver of the scientific questions asked. However, the future of ancient DNA research requires a more systematic approach: hypothesis-driven sampling across time and space, and analysis of much larger sample-sizes.

Ancient DNA research has three major experimental challenges and two computational challenges that jointly need to be addressed by researchers who wish to access this transformative technology.

The first experimental challenge is the danger of contamination from archaeologists or laboratory researchers who handle the sample. This is a recurring problem [111–118]. Although experimental guidelines (e.g., [116,119,120]) as well as laboratory methods for reducing the possibility of contamination [106] and empirically assessing the authenticity of ancient DNA sequences [121] have greatly improved the situation, in practice this concern will never disappear. The importance of controlling for the possibility of contamination is the single most important reason why, to date, convincing ancient DNA research has been dominated by a small number of specialist laboratories with the expertise and facilities to control contamination. All laboratories that carry out this type of work will need to maintain the same level of vigilance currently maintained at specialist laboratories. To reduce contamination at the source, it is also important that archaeologists excavating new samples become trained in handling samples in ways that minimize contamination. Valuable measures include wearing sterile gloves and a protective suit while excavating remains, not washing the remains, and immediately placing the remains in a sterile plastic bag and refrigerating them before shipment to an ancient DNA laboratory.

A second experimental challenge is the difficulty of identifying human remains that contain preserved DNA. This often requires screening dozens of individuals from multiple sites, with success rates in DNA extraction depending strongly on the conditions experienced by the sample: its age, temperature, humidity, acidity, the part of the body from which it derives, the speed with which it dried after death, whether or not it is from a sample that was rapidly defleshed, and other factors that are not currently understood. Modern methods have increased the efficiency of DNA extraction by capturing the short molecules that make up the great majority of any ancient sample [122,123]. In addition, improved library preparation methods have increased the fraction of molecules in an extract that are amenable to sequencing [107,110]. Nevertheless, it is still the case that there is great variability in success. The secret of successful ancient DNA research is not luck, but hard work: screening many carefully chosen and prepared samples until a subset are identified that perform well.

A third experimental challenge is the difficulty of finding a sample that has a sufficiently high proportion of DNA from the bone itself to be economically analyzed. Concrete ly, the challenge is that, for many ancient DNA extracts, the proportion of endogenous DNA is very low, on the order of 1% or less. For example, a recent study on a ~40 000 year old sample from the Tianyuan site of Northern China worked successfully with a sample that had an endogenous DNA proportion of 0.02% [110]. For such samples, important as they are, it is not economical to simply carry out brute-force sequencing of random genomic fragments and expect to obtain sufficient coverage to make meaningful inferences.

The challenges of ancient DNA research do not end once the sample preparation is complete because the datasets that are generated pose formidable computational and analytical challenges. The first challenge is that, once useable samples are obtained and sequenced, the data need to be processed. Ancient DNA laboratories, which traditionally have their strongest expertise in archaeology, physical anthropology, or biochemistry, often lack the bioinformatics expertise, data-processing power, and data-storage solutions necessary to handle the millions or even billions of sequences that are generated by modern ancient DNA studies. Moreover, ancient DNA data also require tailored bioinformatics tools for handling the short sequences that are characteristic of old samples [124]; one cannot simply use existing tools such as SAMTools [125] or the Genome Analysis Toolkit [126] with the default settings. For example, the sequenced DNA fragments are usually short and degraded, and are expected to have C→T and G→A errors at the ends of the molecules due to cytosine deamination. The data additionally need to be assessed computationally for evidence of contamination, for example by checking the rate of molecules that do not map to the mtDNA consensus sequence obtained for the sample [110]. If a sample is determined to be contaminat ed, the characteristic ancient DNA errors can be leveraged to reduce the level of contamination (assuming that the contamination is not old) by restricting the analysis to sequences that contain differences from the human reference genome sequence that are hallmarks of errors due to ancient DNA degradation [127,128].

A second computational challenge for ancient DNA research is that, once the data are processed and a sample is determined as likely to be authentic, the data also need to be analyzed using statistical methods that infer population history. Many methods that have been developed to
make inferences about population relationships are not substantially biased by the fact that ancient DNA is old and error-prone [52,59,106–110]. However, these methods must still be implemented carefully to produce meaningful results. In particular, the methods need to handle the complications of ancient DNA, such as the fact that ancient DNA data often has low levels of redundancy, and therefore that unambiguous determination of genotypes at each position in the genome is often unreliable.

At present few laboratories have the experimental and computational expertise to address all these challenges simultaneously. As a result, ancient DNA research has been dominated by a few vertically integrated and well-funded laboratories that combine all these skills under the same roof. The high barriers to entry have meant that the full potential of ancient DNA has been largely untapped. In what follows we sketch out a way forward that we expect will make ancient DNA analysis more accessible to the broad research community, including archaeologists.

Democratization of ancient DNA technology

The usual paradigm in ancient DNA analysis of the nuclear genome has been to identify a sample that has a high enough proportion of DNA to deeply sequence. Such golden samples are rare, and are typically identified only after laborious screening of many dozens of samples that have low proportions of human DNA. Once a sample is found that has an appreciable proportion of human DNA, it is typically sequenced to as high a coverage as possible (sometimes the limited number of starting molecules in many ancient DNA libraries is the main factor limiting sequencing depth). All of this is very expensive, and has been an important barrier to entry into ancient DNA work for less well funded laboratories.

Whole-genome sequences, however, are not required for most historical inferences. In a paper that was important not only for what it showed about history but also for what it showed about the quantity of information that can be extracted from small amounts of data, Skoglund et al. [62] found that even low levels of genome coverage per sample – only 1–5% of genomic bases were covered in their case – were sufficient to support profound historical inferences.

A promising way to make ancient DNA analysis accessible to a much larger number of laboratories is to use targeted capture approaches that enrich a sample for human DNA. In one approach to target enrichment [129] it was shown that it is possible to take RNA baits obtained by transcribing a whole human genome and hybridize them in solution to an ancient DNA library, thus increasing the fraction of human DNA to be sequenced by more than an order of magnitude. Another group [110] showed that it is possible to synthesize oligonucleotide DNA baits targeted at a specified subset of the genome – the entire mtDNA sequence, or the coding sequences of all genes – and to hybridize to ancient DNA libraries in solution to enrich a library for molecules from the targeted subset of the genome. This strategy was used to obtain a high-quality mitochondrial genome sequence from a ~400 000 year old archaic human [127], as well as approximately twofold redundant coverage of chromosome 21 from the ~40 000 year old Tianyuan sample from northern China [110].

We ourselves are particularly enthusiastic about the possibility of adapting a technology such as that described above to enrich human samples for panels of several hundred thousand SNPs that have already been genotyped on present-day samples. This is a sufficient number of SNPs that it would allow for high-resolution analysis of how an ancient sample relates to present-day as well as other ancient samples. The strategy has two potential advantages. First, through enrichment, it allows analysis of samples with much less than 10% human DNA, which are not economical for whole-genome sequencing studies. Second, assuming that it works, it requires about two orders of magnitude less sequencing per sample to saturate all its targets (Table 1). We caution that there are some questions – for example, estimation of population divergence times – that rely on the identification of sample-specific mutations and may be better addressed with whole-genome sequencing data. Nevertheless, we believe that a capture experiment can answer the substantial majority of questions related to population history or natural selection that are addressable with genetic data, while allowing larger numbers of samples to be analyzed for the same cost.

An important goal for the coming years should be to make ancient DNA a tool that will become fully accessible not only to smaller laboratories but also to archaeologists. In this regard it is important to encourage interaction and collaboration between the genetics and archaeology communities to develop standards for the interpretation and use of genetic data in answering questions relevant to archaeology.

Members of the archaeology community are already sophisticated consumers of other scientific technologies for analyzing ancient biological remains such as 14C analysis (for date estimation) and stable isotope analysis (for making inferences about diet). Currently, when archaeologists have

| Table 1. Comparison of two strategies for ancient DNA studies of history |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Number of samples that need to be screened | 1 | 1/10 |
| Amount of sequencing that needs to be performed | 1 | 1/100 |
| High-resolution inference of population relationships | Yes | Yes |
| Allows studies of selection | Yes | Yes |
| Variants specific to sample can be discovered | Yes | No |
| Works for samples with a low % of human DNA | No | Yes |

*a These are rough estimates based on discussion with colleagues. The estimated amount of work required for capturing 300 000 SNPs is expressed as a fraction of that required for whole-genome sequencing.
Box 2. Outstanding Questions

- What was the genetic makeup of humans in eastern Africa before the arrival of Bantu speakers? The population genetic structure of sub-Saharan Africa has been transformed by the expansion of Bantu-speaking agriculturalists over the past 3000 years. As a concrete example, the population structure of eastern Africa before this expansion remains controversial [139,140]. Ancient DNA from samples before the Bantu expansion could settle this debate. Although the climate in much, but not all, of sub-Saharan Africa is less favorable for preservation of DNA than that of northern Eurasia, it is not clear whether enough African samples have been tested to determine whether or not ancient DNA analysis works. Moreover, the timescale of the Bantu expansion is only several thousand years rather than tens of thousands of years. In light of continuing technological progress in ancient DNA extraction (including the successful extraction of DNA from a ~400000 year old sample in Spain [127]), we are hopeful that ancient DNA studies of some African samples within the past few thousand years may become possible.

- When were the geographic distributions of alleles under positive selection established? Loci under positive selection in humans have allele frequencies with characteristic geographic patterns, which have been interpreted as ‘west Eurasian’, ‘east Asian’, and ‘non-African’ selective sweeps [95]. Were these patterns established tens of thousands of years ago during the initial out-of-Africa by modern humans? Or were they established after later population movements? Resolving these questions will become possible once substantial numbers of ancient samples are genotyped. Work in this area is only now beginning [101].

- Was the spread of Indo-European languages caused by large-scale migrations of people or did language shifts occur without extensive population replacement? For times before the invention of writing, it will never be possible to directly relate the language that people spoke to their remains. Nevertheless, genetic data may still be informative about the historical events that accompany linguistic expansions. The origins of the Indo-European languages – spoken 500 years ago across Europe and West, Central, and South Asia and even more widely distributed today – is a particularly important question [141,142]. These languages likely spread across Eurasia and diversified within the past 5–10 000 years. Was this spread of languages caused by a large-scale movement of people? It may now be possible to obtain ancient DNA from cultures known to have spoken Indo-European languages – for example, Hittites and Tocharians – and to compare these populations with their neighbors to determine whether they harbor a genetic signature specific to the Indo-European speakers. It may also be possible to search for the spread of (currently hypothetical) Indo-European genetic signatures in Europe and India at the times when various hypotheses have suggested that they may have arrived.
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a sample that they wish to analyze, they send it to specialist (sometimes commercial) laboratories, which then provide a carbon date and/or an isotope analysis, together with a report giving interpretation. We envisage a future in which ancient DNA analysis could similarly become available as a service to archaeologists. Concretely, archaeologists would be able to send skeletal material to a specialist laboratory for analysis, where it could be tested for ancient DNA. If ancient DNA is detected, a whole mitochondrial sequence could be produced and a sex determined. For ancient samples with evidence of uncontaminated DNA, whole-genome data could be produced. The relationships of the mtDNA to others that have been previously generated could be summarized in the form of a tree, and the affinities of the population could be summarized in the form of a method such as principal component analysis.

To make such a future possible it will be necessary to build up a database of present-day human and ancient DNA samples all genotyped at the same set of SNPs to which any new sample can be compared. In addition, it will be necessary to write software to compare automatically a new sample to samples in the database [54,130–132], and this could be used as the basis for producing a report for archaeologists on how the sample relates to diverse present-day humans and to other ancient samples. Because of the subtleties of interpreting genetic data, it will be necessary for archaeologists to work collaboratively with population geneticists to provide in-depth interpretation of the results of such studies. Such a report would, however, be a useful tool for giving archaeologists a first impression of the biological ancestry of their samples, including information on the sex and plausibility of geographic origin.

We particularly wish to highlight the potential of ancient DNA as a direct tool for elucidating the population structure and patterns of relatedness within a particular archaeological site. We mention here two types of analysis of particular interest: (i) correlating genetic findings – sex, relatedness and ancestry – to archaeological information derived from grave goods, status symbols, and other objects; and (ii) the identification of outlier individuals who are unusual in terms of their ancestry relative to others at nearby sites.

Concluding remarks and future directions

We have argued that it will likely to be fruitful to reexamine many aspects of human population history and natural selection from a perspective in which population movements and admixture play a central role. Moreover, we have shown that ancient DNA has emerged as transformative tool for addressing questions about human history – it is not merely an interesting side-show in terms of insights that it can bring to understanding of the human past, but a tremendous leap forward beyond what has been possible through analysis of DNA from present-day humans. What has already been discovered about human history from whole-genome analysis and ancient DNA is only the tip of the iceberg, and we expect that the coming decade will bring even more important discoveries. We conclude by listing several questions that are likely to be addressable (Box 2).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tig.2014.07.007.
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